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The problem
How can competing parties share compute and data? The architecture of a digital marketplace is an active research field and has many components to it. Here we investigate a federated computing platform which is molded into different archetypes based on trust relationships between organizations.

The components
Consortium: is an initial document which brings together organizations that wish to collaborate. It defines static information such as keys to identify parties.

Infrastructure: A single domain organization infrastructure that securely hosts data, compute containers and, optionally, compute infrastructure. We dub this infrastructure a data harbour. A harbour implements a set of protocols that allows it to interact with other harbours.

Contracts: Are a set of rules that are shared amongst participating harbours which describe how objects (data, compute) can be traded between harbours and who can process data. In its simplest form is a 7-tuple which binds a user, data object, compute container, contract, consortium, harbour, and expiry date.

An application: Is a distributed pipeline which can make use of several contracts. The combination of application and contract defines the archetype of the computation i.e. how data and compute are moved to effect computation.

Auditor: A trusted entity that collects audit trails for use in litigation of policy violations.

In action
Federated computing on 3 distributed data harbours. Here we illustrate one archetype where KLM and Airfrance do not trust each other and employ a trusted 3rd party to send the data and compute for processing.

For the scenario to succeed the different harbours need to effect several transactions which are governed by contractual rules.

The transaction protocol involves first identifying both parties are who they say they are through pub/priv key challenges and secondly, that at least a contract rule is matched to allow the transaction. Important steps of the transactions are audit logged i.e. signed and published to and audit log collector.